

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,920	05/04/2001	William J. Dally	2789.1001-001		
21005	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER		
	I, BROOK, SMITH &	COX, CASSANDRA F			
530 VIRGINI P.O. BOX 913			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133	2816			

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.			Applicant(s)				
		09/849,92	o		DALLY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner			Art Unit				
		Cassandra			2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1) <u> </u>	Status								
2a)⊠									
<i>'</i>	,—			l manthaga mus	and the second	a marita ia			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-6,10-11,13, 15,17</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,7-9,12,14,16 and 18</u> is/are objecte								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
•	on Papers		•						
9)[]]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.							
10)⊠ ገ	he drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted c	or b)∐ obj	ected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u>			ce of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection has been repeated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 5-6, 10-11, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Sullivan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,259,755).

In reference to claim 1, O'Sullivan discloses a circuit in Figure 12 that comprises a frequency generating circuit (1109) which generates an output signal (1202) at a rate that is a multiple of input frequency of an input signal (1201A); a phase comparator (1104) which directly compares the phase of an edge of the input signal (1201A) with the phase of an edge of the output signal (1202) and controls the frequency generating circuit (1109) based on the comparison. The same applies to claim 6. The same also applies to claims 11, 13, 15, and 17, wherein the combinational circuitry is seen as the

Art Unit: 2816

circuitry included in the phase detector (11), which is not shown, but is considered to be inherent.

In reference to claim 5, O'Sullivan also discloses in Figure 12, that the frequency generating circuit (1109) is a voltage-controlled oscillator. The same applies to claim 10.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-4, 7-9, 12, 14, 16, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2-4 and 7-9 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 14 wherein the circuit further comprises a window signal (window) that is true during edges of the input signal and output signal to be compared in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 14 and 18 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 1 in which the combinational circuitry provides current source and drain to an output as up and down current pulses (see specification page 8, line 23 through page 9, line 2) in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 12 and 16 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 6 wherein each of the up and down pulses (up, down) has a duration which is a

Art Unit: 2816

fraction of the input signal and the output signal transition times in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to applicant's argument that the O'Sullivan reference does not relate to a multiplier circuit and does not suggest generating "an output signal at a rate that is a multiple of input frequency of an input signal", the examiner disagrees. It is considered well known in the art that phase locked loops can be used as multiplier circuits. In addition, as support for this argument, the applicant refers to Figure 13 to show that the recovered output clock 1202, which is generated by the VCO 1109 and fed back to the phase comparator 1104, has the same frequency as the data of the input data signal 1200A. This is clearly not true. Figure 13 clearly shows that output clock 1202 and input data signal 1200A have different frequencies and that the output clock 1202 is at a rate that is a multiple of input signal 1200A. The rejection is maintained and made final.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2816

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 571-272-1741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and on alternate Fridays from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

December 27, 2004

/ TMOTHYP. CALLAHAN

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800